PAUL, WEIS CASE 1:13 67-02811 PKC DOCHMENT 225 LFILED 11/16/15, Page 1 of 2

1285 AVENUE OF THE AMERICAS NEW YORK, NEW YORK 10019-6064

TELEPHONE (212) 373-3000

LLOYD K GARRISON (1946-1991)
RANDOLPH E PAUL (1946-1956SIMON H RIFKIND (1950-1995LOUIS S WEISS 1927-1950)
JOHN F WHARTON (1927-1977)

WRITER'S DIRECT DIAL NUMBER

212-373-3460

WRITER S DIRECT FACSIMILE 212-373-0460

writer s direct e-mail address afinch@paulweiss.com

UNIT 3601, OFFICE TOWER A BEIJING FORTUNE PLAZA
NO 7 DONGSANHUAN ZHONGLU
CHAOYANG DISTRICT
BEIJING 100020
PEOPLE S REPUBLIC OF CHINA
TELEPHONE 186-10, 5828-6300

12TH FLOOR HONG KONG CLUB BUILDING 3A CHATER ROAD CENTRAL HONG KONG TELEPHONE (852) 2846-0300

ALDER CASTLE
10 NOBLE STREET
LONDON EC2V 7JU U K
TELEPHONE (44 20) 7367 1600

FUKOKU SEIMEI BUILDING 2-2 UCHISAIWAICHO 2-CHOME CHIYODA-KU, TOKYO 100-0011 JAPAN TELEPHONE (81-3) 3597-8101

TORONTO-DOMINION CENTRE
77 KING STREET WEST SUITE 3100
PO BOX 226
TORONTO ONTARIO M5K 1J3
TELEPHONE (416) 504-0520

2001 K STREET, NW WASHINGTON DC 20006-1047 TELEPHONE (202) 223-7300

500 DELAWARE AVENUE SUITE 200 POST OFFICE BOX 32 WILMINGTON DE 19899-0032 TELEPHONE (302) 655-4410

November 16, 2015

LATTHEWAY AS BOUNDERS OF THE PROVINCE OF THE P

*NOT ADMITTED TO THE NEW YORK BAR

Via ECF

The Honorable P. Kevin Castel United States District Court Southern District of New York 500 Pearl Street New York, NY 10007

Sullivan v. Barclays PLC et al., No. 13-cv-2811

Dear Judge Castel:

This firm represents Deutsche Bank AG and DB Group Services (UK) Limited in the above-captioned action. I write on behalf of our clients and Defendants Citigroup, Inc., Citibank, N.A., Coöperatieve Centrale Raiffeisen-Boerenleenbank, B.A., Crédit Agricole S.A., Crédit Agricole CIB, HSBC Holdings plc, HSBC Bank plc, JPMorgan Chase & Co., JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A., the Royal Bank of Scotland plc, Société Générale, UBS AG, ICAP plc, and ICAP Europe Limited (collectively, the "Non-Settling Defendants").

We write in response to Plaintiffs' Motion for Preliminary Approval of Class Action Settlement with Barclays plc, Barclays Bank plc, and Barclays Capital Inc. ("Barclays") (Dkt. No. 216). We respectfully request that additional language be added to the proposed order preliminarily approving the settlement (Dkt. No. 216-1) clarifying that the Court's preliminary approval of the proposed settlement class does not prejudice the Non-Settling Defendants' ability to challenge certification of any proposed litigation class. We have conferred with counsel for Plaintiffs and Barclays and they do not oppose this request.

PAUL, WEISS, RIFKIND, WHARTON & GARRISON LLP

Hon. P. Kevin Castel

As part of their motion for preliminary approval of their settlement with Barclays, Plaintiffs request that the Court certify a settlement class covering a wide variety of financial transactions, including all allegedly U.S.-based transactions during a nearly six-year period in "Euribor Products," defined as:

Interest rate swaps, forward rate agreements, futures, options, structured products, and any other instrument or transaction related in any way to Euribor, including but not limited to, NYSE LIFFE Euribor futures contracts and options, CME Euro currency futures contracts and options, Euro currency forward agreements, Euribor-based swaps, Euribor-based forward rate agreements and/or any other financial instruments that reference Euribor. ¹

The Non-Settling Defendants would vigorously challenge certification of any such class in this litigation, were Plaintiffs to move the Court to do so. Accordingly, the Non-Settling Defendants respectfully request that, should the Court grant preliminary approval of the settlement, its order clarify that any approval is without prejudice to the Non-Settling Defendants' ability to challenge certification of a litigation class. To accomplish this, we propose that the following language be added to the proposed order:

[The] Court's [preliminary] certification of the Settlement Class, and appointment of Plaintiffs as Class Representatives, as provided herein is without prejudice to, or waiver of, the rights of any Defendant to contest any other request by Plaintiffs to certify a class. The Court's findings in this [Preliminary Order] shall have no effect on the Court's ruling on any motion to certify any class in this litigation, or appoint Class Representatives, and no party may cite or refer to the Court's approval of the Settlement Class as binding or persuasive authority with respect to any motion to certify such class or appoint Class Representatives.

This language is based upon the preliminary approval order entered in *In re Packaged Ice Antitrust Litigation*, No. 08-md-01952, 2010 WL 3070161, at *5 (E.D. Mich. Aug. 2, 2010).

Respectfully submitted,

1. Linel

Andrew C. Finch

cc: All Counsel (via ECF)

¹ Settlement Agreement Between Plaintiffs and the Barclays Defendants ¶¶ 1.17, 4 (Oct. 7, 2015), Dkt. No. 218-1; see also Proposed Order Preliminarily Approving Class Action Settlement and Conditionally Certifying a Settlement Class ¶ 4 (Oct. 30, 2015), Dkt. No. 216-1.